#### <u>Remarks</u>

Claims 1 and 2 are pending in the application. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended herein. Non-elected Claims 3-6 have been canceled herein without prejudice. However, Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the subject matter of the canceled claims in related applications.

#### I. OBJECTIONS OF CLAIMS 1 AND 2

Claims 1 and 2 stand objected to because of informalities. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to resolve the antecedent issues. Withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

# II. REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS 1 AND 2 BASED ON DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,552,704 ("Mallory").

Independent claim 1 requires "(a) with the eddy current probe at a first separation from the sample, and with an AC voltage in the sensing coil, measuring a first voltage pair ...," and "(b) with the eddy current probe at the first separation from a reference material, and with the AC voltage in the sensing coil, measuring a second voltage pair ...." Claim 1 further requires, inter alia, "(c) calibrating the first signal based on the measured second signal."

In the Office Action, the Examiner appears to have ignored the differences between claims 1 and 2 of the present application, and claims 1 and 2 of the Mallory patent. However, it is respectfully submitted that any analysis employed in an obviousness-type double patenting rejection should parallel the guidelines for analysis of a 35 U.S.C. § 103 obviousness determination. MPEP 804, II. B. 1. Here, the Mallory patent's claims do not recite at least the above-identified features (b) and (c). Mere assertion that claims 1 and 2 of the prior patent "are broader than and encompasses the boundaries of the instant application" does not justify the obviousness rejections, just as 35 U.S.C. § 103 analysis should not.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the obviousness-type double patenting rejections are legally impermissible. The Examiner's rejection of the dependent claim is respectfully traversed. However, to expedite prosecution, all of these claims will not be argued separately. Claim 2 depends directly from independent claim 1, and therefore, is respectfully submitted to be patentable over cited art for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/623,953

Atty Docket: KLA1P015AD2/P611A2

## m. <u>conclusion</u>

Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request a Notice of Allowance at an early date. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 510-843-6200.

Respectfully submitted, BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

Haruo Yawata

Limited Recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

P.O. Box 778 Berkeley, CA 94704-0778 Tel: 510-843-6200

# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Mr. Haruo Yawata is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is the client of Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Mr. Haruo Yawata ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Mr. Haruo Yawata's employment with Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Mr. Haruo Yawata ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H-1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: January 2, 2007

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline